IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.THANKAPPAN

TUESDAY, THE 13TH NOVEMBER 2007 / 22ND KARTHIKA 1929

RP.No. 589 of 2004(R)

AGAINST THE JUDGEMENT IN WPC.23885/2003 Dated 11/03/2004

.....

REVIEW PETITIONERS/RESPONDENTS IN THE W.P(C):

---

1. STATE OF KERALA,
   REP. BY THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
   DEPARTMENT OF GENERAL EDUCATION, TRIVANDRUM.

2. THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL (A & E),
   KERALA, ACCOUNTANT GENERAL'S OFFICE, TRIVANDRUM.

3. THE DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF EDUCATION,
   THRISSUR.

4. THE DISTRICT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER,
   IRINJALAKUDA.

5. THE ASSISTANT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER,
   KODUNGALLUR.

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.P.NANDAKUMAR

RESPONDENT: PETITIONER IN THE W.P(C):

M.R.SUSEELA,
L.P.S.A., S.V.P.L.P.S., ERYAD.

BY ADV. SRI.MVS.NAMBOOTHIRY
SRI.MVS.NAMBOOTHIRY

THIS REVIEW PETITION HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 13/11/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

K. Thankappan, J.

- -

R.P. No. 589 of 2004
in
W.P.(C) No. 23885 of 2003

- -

Dated this the 13th day of November, 2007

O R D E R

The above review petition is filed for reviewing the judgment dated 11-3-2004 in W.P.C. No.23885/2003 of this Court. By the impugned judgment this Court found that a similar question was considered by this Court in Ext.P11 judgment and hence the writ petition was allowed in terms of Ext.P11 judgment. After going through the contentions raised in the review petition and after hearing learned counsel on both sides, this Court is of the view that the review petition is misconceived. The review petition is not equated with an appeal or for rehearing of the case. Hence, the review petition is dismissed.

K. Thankappan,
Judge.

mn.

K.Thankappan,J.

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -

O.P.No. /2006

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -

Judgment

19-10-2006